



UNIVERSITÄT WIEN

VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Representative characteristics of Felder-Silverman learning styles: An empirical model

Sabine Graf Vienna University of Technology, Austria graf@wit.tuwien.ac.at

Silvia Rita Viola Universita' Politecnica delle Marche, Italy sr.viola@gmail.com

Kinshuk Athabasca University Canada kinshuk@ieee.org

Tommaso Leo Universita' Politecnica delle Marche, Italy tommaso.leo@univpm.it









- Learners have different needs
- Learning style play an important role in education
- Learners might have difficulties in learning when the learning style does not match with the teaching style
- Considering learning styles makes learning easier and increases the learning progress





- More and more research is done dealing with learning styles in technology enhanced learning
 - Investigating how to adapt courses with respect to learning styles
 - Developing adaptive systems
 - Build relationships to student's performance and other characteristics of students
 - Detecting learning style from the behaviour of students in online courses
- \rightarrow An detailed model of learning styles is needed

Aim:

Investigate the learning style dimensions of Felder-Silverman learning style model in more detail





- Richard M. Felder and Linda K. Silverman, 1988
- Learning styles are described in detail
- Each learner has a preference on each of the four dimensions
- Range from +11 to -11 for each dimension
- All assumptions are based on tendencies
- Felder-Silverman learning style model is quite often used in technology enhanced learning





Dimensions:

- Active Reflective learning by doing – learning by thinking things through learning by discussing & group work – work alone
- Sensing Intuitive concrete material – abstract material more practical – more innovative and creative standard procedures – challenges patient / not patient with details
- Visual Verbal

learning from pictures – learning from words

 Sequential – Global learn in linear steps – learn in large leaps good in using partial knowledge – good in drawing connections interested in details – interested in the overview (need "big picture")





- Developed by Felder and Soloman to identify learning styles
- 44 questions
- 11 questions for each dimension
- Each question allows two possible answers indicating a preference for either the one or the other pole of the learning style dimension; e.g. active (+1) or reflective (-1)
- Result: a value between +11 and -11 for each dimension





Adapting to learning styles

 What does it really mean to have a balanced learning style? (e.g. balanced active/reflective style)

active		reflective		
Trying things out	Collaborate with others	Reflect about the material	Work alone	
Trying things out	Collaborate with others	Reflect about the material	Work alone	
Trying things out	Collaborate with others	Reflect about the material	Work alone	



Examples for the need of more detailed information



- If a learning environment supports learning styles only partially, this has to be considered when drawing conclusions
- Identifying learning style from the behavior of learners
 - Different systems support different characteristics of learning styles
 - Maybe not all components of a learning style dimension can be identified → partial information
- Identifying relationship between learning styles and performance (or other characteristics of learners)
 - Detailed information is necessary to build a more accurate relationship





207 students from Austria and New Zealand

Aims:

- General issues to verify our sample
- Identifying the impact of groups within learning style dimensions
- Identifying the most representative questions for each dimension



Distribution:

- 57% active
- 58% sensing
- 87% visual
- 56% global

	str/mod	balanced	str/mod
Act/Ref:	24%	61%	15%
Sen/Int:	29%	53%	17%
Vis/Ver:	64%	33%	3%
Seq/Glo:	16%	68%	16%





Defined semantic groups and assigned the questions from ILS to this groups

Style	Semantic group	ILS questions (answer a)	Style	Semantic group	ILS questions (answer b)
Active	trying something out 1, 17, 25, 29		Reflective	think about material	1, 5, 17, 25, 29
	social oriented	5, 9, 13, 21, 33, 37, 41		impersonal oriented	9, 13, 21, 33, 41, 37
Sensing	ising existing ways 2, 30, 34 Intuitive new ways 2,		2, 14, 22, 26, 30, 34		
_	concrete material	6, 10, 14, 18, 26, 38		abstract material	6, 10, 18, 38
	careful with details	22, 42		not carefule with details	42
Visual	pictures	3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27,	Verbal	spoken words	3, 7, 15, 19, 27, 35
		31, 35, 39, 43		written words	3, 7, 11, 23, 31, 39
				difficulty with visual style	43
Sequential	detail oriented	4, 28, 40	Global	overall picture	4, 8, 12, 16, 28, 40
	sequential progress	20, 24, 32, 36, 44		non-sequential progress	24, 32
	from parts to the whole	8, 12, 16		relations/connections	20, 36, 44

- e.g.: Q1: I understand something better after I
 - (a) try it out.
 - (b) think it through.
 - → Group "try something out" for active preference
 - \rightarrow Group "think about material" for reflective preference





Statistical method: Fisher Linear Discriminant Analysis

Styles	Semantic groups	Act/ Ref	Sen/Int	Vis/Ver	Seq/Glo
Active	try something out	0.639	0.113	0.536	0.211
	Social oriented	0.452	0.146	0.190	0.180
Reflective	think about material	0.597	0.122	0.486	0.217
	impersonal oriented	0.698	0.143	0.175	0.170
Sensing	existing ways	0.237	0.568	0.301	0.174
	concrete materials	0.178	0.777	0.380	0.245
	careful with details	0.147	0.409	0.329	0.456
Intuitive	new ways	0.193	0.678	0.309	0.237
	abstract material	0.225	0.715	0.453	0.173
	not careful with details	0.008	0.699	0.026	0.151
Visual	pictures	0.238	0.227	0.944	0.167
Verbal	spoken words	0.202	0.189	0.648	0.171
	written words	0.171	0.199	1.086	0.258
	difficulty with visual style	0.297	0.388	0.789	0.078
Sequential	detail oriented	0.224	0.218	0.290	0.800
-	sequential progress	0.100	0.237	0.432	0.686
	from parts to the whole	0.123	0.154	0.113	0.839
Global	overall picture	0.174	0.186	0.202	0.819
	non-sequential progress	0.140	0.175	0.520	0.715
	relations/connections	0.074	0.278	0.375	0.869





Empirical frequencies analysis

- How often does students with e.g. active and reflective learning style answer a specific question with a specific (e.g. active) preference?
- e.g.: active = 90 %; reflective = 20% → high impact active = 60 %; reflective = 55% → low impact
- Difference of percentages acts as measure
- Ranking of differences \rightarrow most representative questions



	Rank	Question	Question
		No.	
Active / Reflective	1	37	I am more likely to be considered (a) outgoing. (b) reserved.
	2	1	I understand something better after I (a) try it out. (b) think it through.
	3	13	In classes I have taken (a) I have usually gotten to know many of the students. (b) I have rarely gotten to
			know many of the students.
	4	25	I would rather first (a) try things out. (b) think about how I'm going to do it.
	5	21	I prefer to study (a) in a study group. (b) alone.
	1	6	If I were a teacher, I would rather teach a course (a) that deals with facts and real life situations. (b) that
g/			deals with ideas and theories.
iti	2	38	I prefer courses that emphasize (a) concrete material (facts, data). (b) abstract material (concepts, theories).
ans	3	18	I prefer the idea of (a) certainty. (b) theory.
∿ –	4	10	I find it easier (a) to learn facts. (b) to learn concepts.
	5	2	I would rather be considered (a) realistic. (b) innovative.
	1	31	When someone is showing me data, I prefer (a) charts or graphs. (b) text summarizing the results.
	2	11	In a book with lots of pictures and charts, I am likely to (a) look over the pictures and charts carefully. (b)
al /			focus on the written text.
gng que	3	7	I prefer to get new information in (a) pictures, diagrams, graphs, or maps. (b) written directions or verbal
i≌ ≯			information.
	4	19	I remember best (a) what I see. (b) what I hear.
	5	3	When I think about what I did yesterday, I am most likely to get (a) a picture. (b) words.
	1	36	When I am learning a new subject, I prefer to (a) stay focused on that subject, learning as much about it as I
			can. (b) try to make connections between that subject and related subjects.
	2	20	It is more important to me that an instructor (a) lay out the material in clear sequential steps. (b) give me an
equentia Global			overall picture and relate the material to other subjects.
	3	8	Once I understand (a) all the parts, I understand the whole thing. (b) the whole thing, I see how the parts fit.
	4	44	When solving problems in a group, I would be more likely to (a) think of the steps in the solution process. (b)
Ň			think of possible consequences or applications of the solution in a wide range of areas.
	5	4	I tend to (a) understand details of a subject but may be fuzzy about its overall structure. (b) understand the
			overall structure but may be fuzzy about details.





	Rank	Question	Question
Active / Reflective	1 2 3	37 13	I am ment of the students. (b) reserved. I under Social behaviour (b) think it through. In classes I have taken (a) I have usually gotten to know many of the students. (b) I have rarely gotten to
	4 5	25 21	know r I would Trying something out / thinking about material I prefer to study (a) in a study group. (b) alone.
g/ e	1	6	If I were facts and real life situations. (b) that deals Concrete / abstract learning material
Sensinç Intuitiv	2 3 4 5	38 18 10	I prefer courses that emphasize (a) concrete material (facts, data). (b) abstract material (concepts, theories). I prefer Existing / new ways concepts.
Visual / Verbal	1 2	31 11	When the written text of the second (a) reacting (b) minimum of the second (b) text summarizing the results. The box written text of the written text of the second charts carefully. (b)
	3	.7	Tprete Written and spoken words rams, graphs, or maps. (b) written directions or verbal
	4 5	19 3	When Spoken words b) what I hear.
	1	36	When the logrange a new subject. Larefor to (a) stay focused on that subject. Lograng as much about it as I can (b) Sequential progress / relations and connections
Sequential / Global	2	20 •	It is more important to me that an instructor (a) ray out the materiar in clear sequential steps. (b) give me an overall From parts to the whole / overall picture there there is no how the parts fit
	4	44	When solving problems in a group. I would be more likely to (a) think of the steps in the solution process. (b)
	5	4	I tend details.





- Provided an in depth analysis of FSLSM based on data from the ILS questionnaire
- We identified several groups within the learning style dimensions and pointed out their impact on each learning style dimension
- Statistical methods where used and crossvalidation was performed
- The results show a more accurate description for FSLSM which is especially important for technology enhanced-learning
 - Leads to a more accurate representation of the student model
 - Improves adaptivity regarding learning styles





- Facilitating concrete applications of the results (e.g. providing a list of features in online environments that addresses the identified semantic groups)
- Use additional information of semantic groups for
 - providing adaptivity
 - detecting learning styles from the behavior of students
 - detecting relationships between learning styles and other characteristics of students

