The Need for Hypotheses in Informatics



Alan Bundy informatics University of Edinburgh



The Significance of Research



Importance of Hypotheses

Science and engineering proceed by

- the formulation of hypotheses
- and the provision of supporting (or refuting) evidence for them.
- Informatics should be no exception.
- But the provision of explicit hypotheses in Informatics is rare.
- This causes lots of problems.
- My mission to persuade you to rectify this situation.

Problems of Omitting Hypotheses

 Usually many possible hypotheses. • Ambiguity is major cause of referee/reader misunderstanding. Vagueness is major cause of poor methodology: - Inconclusive evidence; Unfocussed research direction.

Advancing the State of the Art



Exploration of Technique Space

- Informatics as the space of computational techniques.
- Job of Informatics to explore this space.
 - Which techniques are good for which tasks?
 - What are properties of these techniques?
 - What are relationships between these techniques?

What are Informatics Techniques?

Information Representation:

- e.g. databases, hash tables, production rules, neural nets.
- Algorithms:
 - e.g. quick sort, depth-first search, parser.
- Architectures:
 - e.g. von Neumann, parallel, agents.
- Software Engineering Processes:
 - e.g. extreme programming, knowledge acquisition/requirements capture.
- Theories:
 - e.g. denotational semantics, process algebras, computational logics, hidden Markov models.

The Space of Informatics Techniques

- Multi-dimensional space of techniques,
 linked by relationships.
- Rival techniques for same task,
 - with tradeoffs of properties.
- Complementary techniques which interact.
- Build systems from/with collections of techniques.

Exploration of Techniques Space

- Invention of new technique,
- Investigation of technique,
 - e.g. discovery of properties of, or relationships between, techniques.
- Extension or improvement of old technique,
- New application of a technique,
 - to artificial or natural systems.
- Combine several techniques into a system.

Hypotheses in Informatics

- Claim about task, system, technique or parameter, e.g.:
 - All techniques to solve task X will have property Y.
 - System X is superior to system Y on dimension Z.
 - Technique X has property Y.
 - X is the optimal setting of parameter Y.
- Properties and relations along scientific, engineering or cognitive science dimensions.

Rarely explicitly stated

Different Dimensions





Scientific Dimensions 1

• Behaviour: the effect or result of the technique,

- correctness vs quality,
- need external 'gold standard';
- Coverage: the range of application of the technique,
 - complete vs partial;
- Efficiency: the resources consumed by the technique,
 - e.g. time or space used,
 - usually as approx. function, e.g. linear, quadratic, exponential, terminating.

Scientific Dimensions 2

Sometimes mixture of dimensions.
Property vs comparative relation.
Task vs systems vs techniques vs parameters.

Engineering Dimensions

- Fitness: how well it meets user requirements.
- Usability: how easy to use?
- Dependability: how reliable, secure, safe?
- Maintainability: how evolvable to meet changes in user requirements?
- Scalability: whether it still works on complex examples?

Cognitive Science Dimensions

- External: match to external behaviours,
 both correct and erroneous.
- Internal: match to internal processing, – clues from e.g. protocol analysis.
- Adaptability: range of occurring behaviours modelled

– ... and non-occurring behaviours not modelled.

 Evolvability: ability to model process of development.

All this to some level of abstraction.

Kinds of Research

Different levels:

task, system, technique, parameter.

Theory vs experiment,

exploratory vs hypothesis testing.

Properties vs relations,

which dimension?

Deepening Understanding of Techniques 1

Formal proof of hypothesis,

- e.g. correctness, completeness, termination, complexity.
- Experimental exploration and hypothesis testing.
 - e.g. complexity, success rate, coverage,
 - both absolute and relative to others,
 - comparison may be with animal/human.

Deepening Understanding of Techniques 2

Tradeoffs between properties/relations,

- e.g. time/space vs problem type/size, phase boundaries.
- Discover two apparently different techniques are the same,
 - or that one is a special case of the other,
 - or that different techniques have the same name.

Theoretical Research

- Apply to task or technique.
- Use of mathematics for definition and proof.
- Theorem as hypothesis; proof as evidence.
- Advantages:
 - Abstract analysis of task;
 - Suggest new techniques, e.g. generate and test;
 - Enables proof of general properties/relationships,

19

- cover potential infinity of examples;
- Suggest extensions and generalisations;
- Disadvantage:

<u>Sometimes difficult to reflect realities of task.</u>





Experimental Research

Kinds:

exploratory vs hypothesis testing.

Generality of Testing:

test examples are representative.

Results Support Hypothesis:

and not due to another cause.

How to Show Examples Representative

- Distinguish development from test examples.
- Use lots of dissimilar examples.
- Collect examples from an independent source.
- Use the shared examples of the field.
- Use challenging examples.
- Use acute examples

How to Show that Results Support Hypothesis

• Vary one thing at a time, - then only one cause possible. Unfortunately, not always feasible. Analyse/compare program trace(s), - to reveal cause of results. Use program analysis tools, – e.g. to identify cause/effect correspondences



- Informatics advances via formulation of hypotheses,
 - and providing supporting (or refuting) evidence for them.
- Hypothesis typically establish or compare properties along some dimension.
- Property dimensions include:
 - Scientific: behaviour, coverage, efficiency.
 - Engineering: fitness, usability, dependability, maintainability, scalability.
 - Cognitive Science: external, internal, adaptability, evolvability.

Both theory and experiment can provide evidence.